

Toxics Use Reduction Institute

Surface Solutions Laboratory

University of Massachusetts Lowell One University Avenue, Lowell, MA 01854-2866 (978)934-3133 or 3249 fax: (978)934-3050 or 4962 www.cleanersolutions.org

SSL CLEANING PRODUCT PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Performed for Triple S, 2 Executive Park Drive, Billerica, MA 01862

Vendor Name: Tersano Date of Testing: 7/10-7/11/08				
Product Name: Lotus Sanit Major Fields of Cleaning:	izing System Parts	Precision		
Neutral Aqueous Terp	i-Aqueous [ene [bleum distillate [Powder detergent Enzymatic/ Microbi Blasting Biobased	Extracting ial HCFC Alcohol Other: Oxygenated Water	
Methods Used for Cleaning Cold Solvent Immersion/Soak Manual Wipe Mechanical Agitation	☐ Media Blasti ☐ Spray Washe psi-range ☐ ☐ Ultrasonic	_	Vapor Degreasing Other1: Other2: Other3:	
Concentration used Temperature used Analysis used Tool 9 68 Gravin	F			
Buffing/polishing Carbon deposits Coatings Fluxes Greases Inks	/6	Paints Resins Silicones Rosins Rust/Scale Oil -cutting/tapping Other1: All purpose Other2: Bathroom So	soil mix 88.01 % 92.31 %	
Laboratory Safety Screening S VOC (g/l): [Global Warming Potential: [Ozone Depletion Potential:	0 NFPA ra 0 HMIS ra	ting: H 0 F 0 ting: H F F PH: 7.0	R 0 R	
Safety Screening Score:	50 The higher	r the score, up to 50, implie	es a potentially safer product	

SSL uses a modified version of the ASTM standard G122 to determine product performance. Effectiveness is determined using the gravimetric analysis of portion of the standard. The lab considers the removal of 85% or more on average of the soil from three coupons to be effective. However, in some cases lower or higher values may be acceptable depending upon the end goal of the cleaning process.

² SSL has developed a screening methodology to help in the selection of safer cleaning products. It is important to conduct a full EH&S assessment of any product prior to adoption. Contact SSL to find out how to have an EH&S evaluation completed.

TURI SURFACE SOLUTIONS LABORATORY **EVALUATION SUMMARY**

SCL #:

2008-16-305-1-4-

DateRun: Experimenters: 7/11/2008 Marshall; Bansal;

ClientType:

Chemical Mfr; Performed for Triple S, 2 Executive Park Drive, Billerica, MA 01862

ProjectNumber: Substrates:

Glass/Quartz; Tile; Chrome;

PartType:

Parts;

Contaminants: CleaningMethods: Films; Soaps; Manual Wipe:

AnalyticalMethods:

Gravimetric;

Purpose:

To evaluate three supplied products for glass cleaning following GS 37 requirements

ExperimentalProcedure:

Two of the three supplied cleaning product were diluted to vendor recommended concentration using DI water (32:1 and 128:1). In addition, the third product was prepared following the specified procedure - oxygenating cold tap water for about 10 minutes.

Preweighed chrome, glass and composite tile coupons were coated with SSL Soil 2 (Glass soap scum: Colgate Regular shaving cream 5.3%, Arid Extra Extra Spray Deodorant 3.5%, Suave Naturals Flexible Hold hair spray 3.7%, Aleeda Texurizing hair gel 25.6% Colgate Total toothpaste 10.4%, Water 51.5%) by pump spraying the mix. The soil was allowed to dry for 24 hours at room temperature. The contaminated coupons were weighed again to determine the amount of soil added.

Three coupons were placed into a Gardner Straight Line Washability unit. A Kimberly-Clark Wypal reinforced paper towel was attached to the cleaning sled and soaked with 5-7 sprays of cleaning solutions. Each coupon was sprayed 7-10 times with the same cleaning solution. The cleaning unit was run for 5 cycles (~9 seconds). At the end of the cleaning, coupons were wiped once with a dry paper towel. Final weights were recorded, efficiencies were calculated and recorded.

ChemistriesEvaluated:

Lotus Sanitizing System; Pleascent Neutra Shine; Compass;

Results:

All three products were effective at removing the glass soap scum from the three surfaces using manual wiping. The Lotus Sanitizing System resulted in the highest efficiency, removing more than 90% of the soap film. The table lists the amount of soil added, the amount remaining after cleaning and the calculated efficiency for each coupon cleaned.

Substrate		Initial	wt	Final wt	% Removed
Glass	Lotus	0.0390		0.0031	92.05
		0.0491		0.0048	90.22
		0.0415		0.0046	88.92
Glass	Pleascent	0.0521		0.0031	94.05
		0.0499		-0.0003	100.60
		0.0375		0.0057	84.80
Glass	Compass	0.0522		0.0044	91.57
		0.0639		0.0038	94.05
		0.0552		0.0035	93.66
Chrome	Lotus	0.0682		0.0090	86.80
		0.0605		0.0059	90.25
		0.0624		0.0088	85.90
Chrome	Pleascent	0.0969		0.0205	78.84
		0.0533		0.0137	74.30
		0.1056		0.0260	75.38
Chrome	Compass	0.0821		0.0136	83.43
		0.0731		0.0172	76.47
		0.1132		0.0227	79.95
Tile	Lotus	0.0777		0.0028	96.40
		0.0627		0.0085	86.44
		0.0710		0.0020	97.18
Tile	Pleascent	0.0418		0.0044	89.47
		0.0641		0.0039	93.92
		0.0416		0.0029	93.03
Tile	Compass	0.0549		0.0065	88.16
		0.0442		0.0021	95.25
		0.0658		0.0021	96.81

Summary

Substrates:

Glass/Quartz; Plastic; Chrome;

Contaminants: Films; Soaps;

CompanyName:	Product Name	Conc.	Efficiency	Effective
Tersano	Lotus Sanitizing System	100	90.46	Yes
Triple S	Pleascent Neutra Shine	3.1	87.15	Yes
Triple S	Compass	0.78	88.82	Yes

Conclusion:

The Lotus Sanitizing System was found to be effective for removing the glass soil from various surfaces using manual wiping. It compared well to the two other cleaning products supplied for testing.

TURI SURFACE SOLUTIONS LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #:

2008-16-305-0-4-7/11/2008

DateRun: Experimenters:

Marshall; Bansal;

ClientType:

Chemical Mfr; Performed for Triple S, 2 Executive Park Drive, Billerica, MA 01862

ProjectNumber:

1

Substrates: PartType: Ceramics; Tile; Fiberglass; Chrome;

Contaminants:
CleaningMethods:

Coupons; Films; Soaps; Manual Wipe;

CleaningMethods: AnalyticalMethods: Gravimetric;

Purpose: ExperimentalProcedure:

To evaluate three supplied products for bathroom cleaning following GS 37 requirements

Two of the three supplied cleaning product were diluted to vendor recommended concentrations for bathroom cleaning using DI water (32:1 and 128:1%). The third product was prepared following the specified procedure -

oxygenating cold tap water for about 10 minutes.

Preweighed fiberglass, ceramic, chrome and composite floor tiles coupons were coated with SSL Soil 1 (Bathroom soap scum: Vaseline Dry Skin Lotion 21.4%, Dial Clean Rinsing Body Wash 14.3%, Market Basket Shampoo & Conditioner (Pert)28.6%, Soft Soap Natural Liquid hand soap 21.4%, Coast Deodorant bar soap 7.2% and Water 7.1%) using a hand held swab and allowed to dry for 24 hours at room temperature. The contaminated coupons were weighed again to determine the amount of soil added.

Three coupons were placed into a Gardner Straight Line Washability unit. A Kimberly-Clark Wypal reinforced paper towel was attached to the cleaning sled and soaked with 5-7 sprays of cleaning solutions. Each coupon was sprayed 7-10 times with the same cleaning solution. The cleaning unit was run for 20 cycles (~33 seconds). At the end of the cleaning, coupons were wiped once with a dry paper towel. Final weights were recorded, efficiencies were calculated and recorded.

ChemistriesEvaluated:

Lotus Sanitizing System; Pleascent Neutra Shine; Compass;

Results:

All three products were successful in removing the bathroom soil from the four substrates. Overall efficiencies were above 90%. The table lists the amount of soil added, the amount remaining after cleaning and the calculated efficiency for each coupon cleaned.

			Dinal we	% Removed
Substrate		Initial wt	Final wt 0.1062	71.07
Ceramic .	Lotus	0.3671	0.1082	81.50
		0.2130		92.53
		0.2677	0.0200	95.44
Ceramic	Pleascent	0.2195	0.0100	99.12
		0.1925	0.0017	
		0.2007	0.0006	99.70
Ceramic	Compass	0.0947	0.0062	93.45
		0.2045	0.0187	90.86
		0.1395	0.0064	95.41
Chrome	Lotus	0.1733	0.0066	96.19
		0.1910	0.0050	97.38
		0.1328	0.0055	95.86
Chrome	Pleascent	0.2044	0.0295	85.57
		0.2579	0.0465	81.97
		0.3367	0.1314	60.97
Chrome	Compass	0.2222	0.0096	95.68
		0.1072	0.0127	88.15
		0.2188	0.0071	96.76
Fiberglass	Lotus	0.2320	0.0187	91.94
		0.1619	0.0009	99.44
		0.2008	0.0071	96.46
Fiberglass	Pleascent	0.1435	0.0139	90.31
1100191000		0.3196	0.0075	97.65
		0.2581	0.0280	89.15
Fiberglass	Compass	0.2619	0.0012	99.54
ribergiass	o op a e e	0.2055	0.0028	98.64
		0.2147	0.0186	91.34
Tile	Lotus	0.1480	0.0014	99.05
1116	Hocus	0.1094	0.0094	91.41
		0.0829	0.0042	94.93
Tile	Pleascent	0.0856	0.0005	99.42
1116	rieascenc	0.1355	0.0123	90.92
		0.2460	0.0248	89.92
mile	Compass	0.1578	0.0116	92.65
Tile	Compass	0.1556	0.0157	89.91
		0.1336	0.0110	91.07
		0.1232	0.0110	J±.07

_				
Sı	ım	nr	12	n

Substrates:

Ceramics; Plastic; Steel;

Contaminants:

Hucker's Soil;

CompanyName: Tersano

Triple S

Triple S

Product Name
Lotus Sanitizing System
Pleascent Neutra Shine
Compass

 Conc.
 Efficiency
 Effective

 100
 88.01
 Yes

 3.1
 91.34
 Yes

 0.78
 94.14
 Yes

Conclusion:

The Lotus Sanitizing System was found to be effective for removing the Hucker's soil from various surfaces using manual wiping. It compared well to the two other cleaning products supplied for testing.

Summary

Substrates:

Ceramics; Plastic; Fiberglass; Chrome;

Contaminants:

Films; Soaps;

CompanyName:
Tersano
Triple S
Triple S

Product Name
Lotus Sanitizing System
Pleascent Neutra Shine
Compass

 Conc.
 Efficiency
 Effective

 100
 92.31
 Yes

 3.12
 90.01
 Yes

 0.78
 93.62
 Yes

Conclusion:

The Lotus Sanitizing System was found to be effective for removing the bathroom soil from various surfaces using manual wiping. It compared well to the two other cleaning products supplied for testing.

Tersano Lotus Sanitizing System vs. Tap H2O

Soil Mix	Bathroom Soil Mix	Bathroom Soil Mix	Bathroom Soil Mix	All-Purpose Soil Mix	All-Purpose Soil Mix	All-Purpose Soil Mix	Glass Soil Mix
Surface	Ceramic	Chrome	Fiberglass	Ceramic	Plastic	Steel	Glass
Lotus	81.70	96.48	95.66	86.21	91.50	96.31	90.40
Tap H2O	53.78	77.27	66.23	50.70	87.89	79.85	82.59
Compass	93.24	93.53	96.50	93.39	96.73	97.88	87.15
Pleascent NS	98.00	76.17	92.37	93.32	93.65	90.17	88.82